

VILLAGE OF ELM GROVE  
JOINT MEETING OF THE VILLAGE BOARD OF TRUSTEES,  
PLAN COMMISSION and  
AD HOC COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING COMMITTEE

MINUTES – June 20, 2006

Present

Village Board of Trustees

President Palmer, Trustees Haas, Torkelson, Schoenecker, Fronberry, and Azpell.  
Trustee Clark excused.

Plan Commission

Pat Cashin, Wayne Higgins, Jonathan Hyndiuk, and Chuck Long. Betty Hunter excused.

Ad Hoc Comprehensive Planning Committee

Ingo Valentin and Tom Kurtz.

Also present

Village Manager David De Angelis, Zoning Administrator Rebecca Finn, Village Clerk Mary S. Stredni, Public Works Director Mike Flaherty, Assistant Planner Austin Eich, Village Attorney de la Mora, Jeff Rainwater PDI consultant, Assistant Village Attorney Danielle Bergner, the press, and resident.

Roll Call

President Palmer brought the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. Village Clerk Mary S. Stredni took the roll.

Overview of comprehensive planning process

Jeff Rainwater, PDI consultant gave an overview of the process for comprehensive planning which gives a vision for the future of a community. This plan is required by the Wisconsin Comprehensive Planning Legislation. The final plan will be reviewed by the Department of Administration to determine whether certain criteria have been met.

Those involved will be Village staff, PDI consultant Jeff Rainwater, Village Board of Trustees, Plan Commission, and the Ad Hoc Committee.

Numerous studies have been done in the past. Many of those from 1962 to the present are included in the packet for review.

Public Participation

President Palmer noted that the purpose of tonight's meeting is to approve the plan for public participation. President Palmer also noted that not every suggestion from a consultant must be done. In the past often the action was to not implement an idea or project.

Trustee Fronberry questioned at what level the comprehensive plan needed to be; at the street level or overall view. President Palmer stated that it can be any way that the Board decides; it does not need to be street by street, but rather can be an overview of each item and a proposed plan of action.

President Palmer requested that on page 4 of the Public Participation Plan – under *Community-wide Household Survey* – change the words 'new urbanism' to 'design'.

Rebecca Finn noted that the plan to include school age children is not included in the Public Participation Plan as staff will be conducting this portion.

Higgins requested that on the time chart the last item should be – delivery of report – not the last meeting.

Hyndiuk stated that he is not an advocate of a survey or newsletter. Previous surveys have been done and the information from those surveys should be used. Having a workshop and open house which the consultant can do should be enough to derive any information needed. Doesn't feel stakeholder or focus groups are needed.

Attorney de la mora noted that going through this process gives the Village the opportunity to review where we are and where we want to go. It also gives residents who were not here years ago to give input. The growth of Waukesha County could have an impact on transportation in the Village i.e.: Pilgrim Parkway. Receiving a grant from the state for the Comprehensive Plan does not put the Village at a disadvantage. President Palmer clarified that receiving grant money only means that the Department of Administration and Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission will look at the plan and make sure that all items have been addressed.

Kurtz stated that the survey should give people the pros and cons of any answer.

Higgins stated that from his experience the proposed Public Participation Plan is the minimum of public input. On the proposed schedule should move the Plan Review Meeting #2 after the Stakeholder Workshop and the process would be reviewed at that time to determine if in fact more workshops, newsletters, or group meetings need to be held; add paragraph that the Ad Hoc Committee and Plan Commission would recommend further steps or changes at that point.

Resolution 062006 Approving a Public Participation Plan for the Village of Elm Grove Comprehensive Plan

Higgins and Cashin moved and seconded to approve the plan with the change to the schedule to move the Plan Review Meeting #2 after the Stakeholder Workshop, review, and add explanation that the Ad Hoc Committee and Plan Commission would recommend any further steps or changes to the Public Participation Plan at that time. Motion carried 4-1. Cashin, Higgins, Long, and Azpell aye; Hyndiuk nay.

Higgins and Cashin moved and seconded to recommend to the Village Board approval of Resolution 062006. Motion carried 4-1. Cashin, Higgins, Long, and Azpell aye; Hyndiuk nay.

Trustees Haas and Schoenecker moved and seconded to approve Resolution 062006 and the Public Participation Plan with the above changes and to add as last step 'Delivery of Plan'. Motion carried 5-1. Fronberry voting nay.

No other business

Adjourn

Higgins and Azpell moved and seconded to adjourn at 8:45 p.m. Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary S. Stredni  
Village Clerk